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Recently, much attention has been paid to transition metal complexes of polyhapto allenyl/propargyl ligands because of their unique bonding mode and reactivity. Typical examples include mononuclear $\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl complexes ${ }^{1}$ [type A ( $\left.\eta^{3}, 4 \mathrm{e}\right)^{2}$ ] and dinuclear $\mu$-allenyl/propargyl complexes [type B $\left(\eta^{2}, 4 \mathrm{e}\right)^{3}$ or type C $\left(\eta^{3}, 6 \mathrm{e}\right)^{4}$ ] (Chart 1). Geometrically, the inherently linear unsaturated hydrocarbon framework might match a linear dinuclear moiety better than a mononuclear moiety, but only one such example has been reported in $\mathrm{Ru}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{2}$ systems ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ). ${ }^{5}$ On the other hand, there has been no example of a type $D$ complex having such a more delocalized structure through resonance of $\eta^{3}$-allenyl and $\eta^{3}$-propargyl forms, as is found in type A complex. We report here the synthesis, structure, and reactivity of type D complexes of Pd.

## Chart 1



The reaction of $\eta^{1}$-allenyl- or $\eta^{1}$-propargylbis(triphenylphosphine)palladium chloride complexes $1 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}^{6}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature gave $\mu-\eta^{3}$-allenyl/ propargyldipalladium complexes $2 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ (eq 1). ${ }^{7}$ The analogous
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Figure 1. Molecular structure of 2a. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ : $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 2=2.642(2), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}=2.405(6), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Cl}=2.397(6), \mathrm{Pdl}-$ $\mathrm{P} 1=2.252(6), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{P}(2)=2.282(6), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}=2.06(2), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=$ $2.47(3), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 2=2.42(3), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=2.08(2), \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=1.33(3)$, $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.36(3)$. Selected angle (deg): $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=178(2)$.

reaction of $\mathbf{1 b}$ in the presence of NaI and NaSPh gave the iodide and phenyl thiolate analogues, respectively (2b-I, 63\%; 2b-SPh, $78 \%$ ). Addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{d}$ led to regeneration of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{d}$ as well as generation of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

The structures of dinuclear complexes $2 \mathbf{a}^{8}$ and $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathrm{SPh}^{9}$ are presented in Figures 1 and 2 . The $\mu-\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl group is almost linear ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=178^{\circ}$ in 2a, $173^{\circ}$ in $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathrm{SPh}$ ), in contrast to that of $\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl mononuclear complexes ( $\mathrm{Pd},{ }^{1 \mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{1 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}} \mathrm{Mo}^{1 \mathrm{a}}$ ) and other $\mu$-allenyl or $\mu$-propargyl dinuclear complexes (types B and C ) having bent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ units, and parallels the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$ bond. Thus, the $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance ( $2.06,2.066 \AA$ ) is almost equal to the $\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ distance ( 2.08 , $2.096 \AA$ ). Moreover, the $\operatorname{Pd}-\operatorname{Pd}$ distance $(2.642,2.6291 \AA)$ is almost equal to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ distance ( $2.69,2.659 \AA$ ). Two palladiums, $\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl carbons, a chlorine or sulfur atom, and two phosphorus atoms are located on the same plane.

The dinuclear complexes $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $2 \mathbf{b - I}$ reacted with HCl (generated from a reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ in situ) to give unusual dinuclear complexes 3b ( $89 \%)^{10}$ and 3b-I (76\%) (eq 2). The structure of $\mathbf{3 b}$ was determined by X-ray diffraction

(Figure 3). ${ }^{11}$ The structure reveals the first example of $\mu$-vinylcarbene (or $\mu-\eta^{1}, \eta^{3}$-allyl) complex of palladium, which
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Figure 2. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathrm{SPh}$. Selected bond distances $(\AA): \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 2=2.6291(4), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{S}=2.3621(8), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{S}=2.3679$ (9), $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{P} 1=2.2595(9), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{P} 2=2.2626(9), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl}=2.066-$ (3), $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=2.361(3), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 2=2.431(3), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=2.096(3)$, $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=1.257(4), \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.406(5)$. Selected angle (deg): $\mathrm{C} 1-$ $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=173.2(3)$.
is similar to $\mu$-vinylcarbene complexes of other transition metals. ${ }^{12}$ Intriguingly, in this reaction, a proton added to the center carbon of the $\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl group, as confirmed by the reaction with DCl , which points out the occurrence of an unusual electrophilic attack at the center carbon of the $\eta^{3}$ allenyl/propargyl group. On the other hand, in both mononuclear ${ }^{\text {ic.e.f }}$ and other dinuclear ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ complexes, the $\eta^{3}$-allenyl/ propargyl group is prone to attack by a nucleophile at the central carbon. The present unique reactivity might be due to high electron density at the central carbon (C2) in the allenyl/ propargyl ligand bound on the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$ moiety, as explained below. Preliminary MO calculations on $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu$-allyl $)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})-$ $\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{13}$ suggest that back-donation from two filled MOs of the fragment $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ to empty $\pi^{*} \mathrm{MO}$ of the allyl ligand shown in Chart 2 plays a crucial role in combining the allyl ligand with the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$ fragment. The $\pi^{*} \mathrm{MO}$ of the $\mu$-allenyl/ propargyl ligand is equivalent to that of the $\mu$-allyl ligand, so that a similar back-donation may be responsible for the unique structural and reactivity aspects revealed in this study. Such a strong back-bonding interaction cannot be expected in $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mu$ -$\left.\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ru}$ and Os$)\left(\text { type } \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)^{5 \mathrm{~b}}$ due to the presence of four strongly $\pi$-accepting carbon monoxide ligands which compete with the allenyl $\pi^{*}$ MO for the $\mathrm{d} \pi-\mathrm{d} \pi$ MO of the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ fragment.

The treatment of $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathrm{SPh}$ with 2 equiv of HCl led to the formation of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{PhCHCHCH} 2\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$, which is a formal
(10) To a solution of $29.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$ in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added 0.1 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $4.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.042 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ at room temperature. The mixture changed to a yellow suspension within 10 min . After 45 min , addition of 0.35 mL of hexane to the suspension yielded yellow solids ( $24.4 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 b}$ showed the presence of two isomers, which we tentatively assume to arise from different disposition of P 2 and Cl 2 on Pd 2 (see Figure 3). Selected spectral data for $\mathbf{3 b}$ (major:minor $=67: 33$ ) follow. Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.28$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.31$ $\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta 28.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PP}}=\right.$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 24.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{Pp}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Minor isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.58$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=1.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HP}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\delta$ 26.6 (br s), 21.2 (br s). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$ : C, 53.10 ; H, 3.93. Found: C. 53.03; H, 4.02
(11) Crystal data for $\mathbf{3 b} \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}: \mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}(\mathrm{No}$. 2); $a=10.233(2) \AA, b=24.617(7) \AA, c=9.028(2) \AA, \alpha=97.69(2)^{\circ}, \beta$ $=108.69(1)^{\circ}, \gamma=87.23(2)^{\circ}, Z=2, D_{\text {calc }}=1.522 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The data were collected at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation: $\mu=10.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2 \theta_{\text {max }}=55.0^{\circ}$, 488 variables refined with 7851 unique reflections with $I>3.00 \sigma(I)$ to $R(F)=0.065$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}(F)=0.082$.
(12) Macomber, D. W.; Liang, M.; Rogers, R. D. Organometallics 1988, 7, 416 and references therein.
(13) Ab initio MP2 level calculations explaining the stronger $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}-$ allyl interaction for an allyl ligand having the more electron withdrawing substituent: Kurosawa, H.; Ogoshi, S.; Sakaki, S. Manuscript in preparation


Figure 3. Molecular structure of 3b. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ : $\mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pd} 2=2.868(1), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1=2.425(2), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 1=2.489(2)$, $\mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{C} 1=2.374(3), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{Pl}=2.298(2), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{P} 2=2.243(2), \mathrm{Pd} 1-$ $\mathrm{C} 1=2.260(8), \mathrm{Pdl}-\mathrm{C} 2=2.224(9), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 3=2.113(10), \mathrm{Pd} 2-\mathrm{Cl}$ $=2.031(9), \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2=1.40(1), \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.39(\mathrm{~J})$. Selected angle (deg): $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=121.9(9)$.

## Chart 2


hydrogenation product of starting complex $\mathbf{1 b}$ (eq 3). ${ }^{14}$ Thus, this reaction might be a model for a hydrogenation reaction on a metal surface.


In summary, we prepared $\mu-\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyldipalladium complexes of a novel structural type which reacted with HCl to give the first example of a $\mu$-vinylcarbenepalladium complex or to undergo hydrogenation of the $\mu-\eta^{3}$-allenyl/propargyl ligand. Further investigation is now in progress.
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[^1]:    (8) Crystal data for 2a•THF: $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{ClP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, triclinic, $P 1$ (No. 1); $a$ $=10.090(2) \AA, b=11.768(2) \AA, c=8.747(1) \AA, \alpha=94.16(1)^{\circ}, \beta=$ $108.35(1)^{\circ}, \gamma=78.19(1)^{\circ}, Z=1, D_{\text {calc }}=1.521 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The data were collected at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation: $\mu=11.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2 \theta_{\max }=55.0^{\circ}$, 440 variables refined with 3435 unique reflections with $I>3.00 \sigma(I)$ to $R(F)=0.056$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}(F)=0.045$.
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[^2]:    (14) The reaction employing 1 equiv of HCl gave $28 \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PhCHCHCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$, with 0.5 equiv of $\mathbf{2 b}$ - SPh remaining unchanged, while $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$-I were stable to the attack of HCl . The $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}(\mu-\mathrm{SPh})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ was identified by comparison of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixture with that of an authentic sample. (a) Boschi, T.: Crociani, B.; Toniolo, L.; Belluco, V. Inorg. Chem. 1970, 9, 532. (b) Jain, V. K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1987, 133, 261.

